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#### Abstract

Twelve bisdesmosidic cholestane glycosides (1-12), including nine new ones (1-9), were isolated from the bulbs of Ornithogalum thyrsoides by monitoring the cytotoxic activity on HL-60 leukemia cells. The structural assignment of the new compounds was carried out by spectroscopic analysis and the results of hydrolytic cleavage. The 3-0-monoglucosides with an aromatic acyl group at the C-16 diglycoside moiety $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1 2})$ were extremely cytotoxic, with respective $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 0.00016 and $0.00013 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, and the other compounds, except for $\mathbf{2 , 5}$, and $\mathbf{8}$, al so showed cytotoxic activity as potent as etoposide ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 0.30 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), used as a positive control. These cholestanes were concluded to contribute to the potent cytotoxicity of the crude O. thyrsoides bulb extract.


An acylated cholestane diglycoside, $17 \alpha$-hydroxy-16 $\beta$-[(O-(2-O-p-methoxybenzoyl- $\beta$-d-xylopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow 3$ )-2-O-acetyl-$\alpha-$ L-arabinopyranosyl )oxy]chol est-5-en-22-one(13), isolated by us from the bulbs of Ornithogalum saundersiae, has received scientific attention because of its strong cytotoxicity against a variety of tumor cell culture lines and experimental animal tumors. ${ }^{1}$ Recently, we have reported several 13-related compounds and their cytotoxic activity against HL-60 human promyel ocytic leukemia cells. ${ }^{2}$ F urthermore, two novel cytotoxic cholestane glycosides, named galtonioside A ${ }^{3}$ and candicanoside A, ${ }^{4}$ along with several 13 derivatives ${ }^{5}$ and polyoxygenated cholestane bisdesmosides, ${ }^{6}$ have been isolated from Galtonia candicans, a Liliaceae plant taxonomically related to O. saundersiae Compound 13, galtonioside A, and candicanoside A were considered to have potential as new anticancer agents with a new mode of action because they displayed differential cytotoxicities in the J apanese F oundation for Cancer Reserch 38 cell line assay, ${ }^{7}$ and their cytotoxic profiles in the mean graphs were not correlated to those shown by any of the other compounds including currently used anticancer drugs. During our ongoing project, which focused on higherplant antineoplastic constituents, a phytochemical analysis was conducted with the MeOH extract of the bulbs of Ornithogalum thyrsoi des since it exhibited potent cytotoxic activity against HL-60 cells. A cytotoxicity-guided fractionation procedure of the MeOH extract has resulted in the isolation of 12 chol estane glycosides (1-12), including nine new ones (1-9). This paper deals with the structural assignment of the new cholestane glycosides based on spectroscopic analysis and the results of hydrolytic cleavage and with the cytotoxicity of the isolated compounds against HL-60 cells.

## Results and Discussion

The fresh bulbs of O. thyrsoides were extracted with hot MeOH . The concentrated MeOH extract, which showed cytotoxic activity against $\mathrm{HL}-60$ cells with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $0.79 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, was passed through a porous-polymer polystyrene resin (Diaion HP-20) column and successively
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el uted with $30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}, 50 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$, and EtOH. The EtOH el uate fraction exhibited a highly cytotoxic activity against $\mathrm{HL}-60$ cells ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 0.028 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). Then, the EtOH fraction was repeatedly subjected to column chromatography on silica gel and on octadecylsilanized (ODS) silica gel and to reversed-phase HPLC to furnish compounds $\mathbf{1}(35.6 \mathrm{mg})$, 2 ( 130 mg ), 3 ( 61.1 mg ), 4 ( 84.5 mg ), 5 ( 894 mg ), 6 ( 140 mg ), $\mathbf{7}$ ( 128 mg ), 8 ( 90.2 mg ), 9 ( 22.1 mg ), $10(23.6 \mathrm{mg}), 11$ $(18.9 \mathrm{mg})$, and $12(68.1 \mathrm{mg})$.

Compounds 10-12 were identified as 16 $16-[(\alpha-\mathrm{L}$-arabinopyranosyl )oxy]-3 $\beta$-[( $\beta$-d-glucopyranosyl) oxy)]-17 $\alpha$-hy-droxycholest-5-en-22-one (10), ${ }^{5} 3 \beta$-[( $\beta$-d-glucopyranosyl)-oxy)]-17 $\alpha$-hydroxy-16 $\beta$-[(O- $\beta$-D-xylopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow 3$ )-2-O-acetyl- $\alpha$-L-arabinopyranosyl)oxy]cholest-5-en-22-one (11), ${ }^{5}$ and $3 \beta$-[( $\beta$-d-glucopyranosyl)oxy)]-17 $\alpha$-hydroxy-16 $\beta$-[(O-(2-O-3,4-dimethoxybenzoyl- $\beta$-d-xylopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow 3$ )-2-O-acetyl-$\alpha$-L-arabinopyranosyl )oxy]chol est-5-en-22-one (12), ${ }^{5}$ respectively.

All the new compounds were revealed to be based upon $3 \beta, 16 \beta, 17 \alpha$-trihydroxycholest-5-en-22-one by analysis of their spectral data and differed from each other with regard to the structures of the sugar moieties and the acyl groups attached at the C-16 sugar residue.

Compound $\mathbf{1}$ was obtained as an amorphous solid with a molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{82} \mathrm{O}_{22}$, as determined by the data of the positive-ion FABMS, showing an $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ion at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 1117$, the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum with a total of 55 carbon signals, and elemental analysis. Analysis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1}$ and comparison with those of $\mathbf{1 2}$ implied that $\mathbf{1}$ differed from $\mathbf{1 2}$ only in terms of the aromatic acid constituent. Instead of the signals for a 3,4-dimethoxybenzoyl group, those assignable to a 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoyl residue were observed at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, and $3.81(3 \mathrm{H} \times 2, \mathrm{~s})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 126.3(\mathrm{C}), 108.1(\mathrm{CH}) \times 2,153.7(\mathrm{C}) \times$ 2, 143.2 (C), 165.4 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 60.7 ( OMe ), and 56.2 ( $\mathrm{OMe} \times$ 2). Alkaline hydrolysis of $\mathbf{1}$ with $0.4 \% \mathrm{KOH}$ in EtOH gave 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoic acid and 11. The ester linkage position of the 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoyl group at C-2 of the xylosyl group in 4 was ascertained by a long-range correlation from the xylose $\mathrm{H}-2$ proton at $\delta 5.79$ (dd, $\mathrm{J}=8.9$, 7.6 Hz ) to the carbonyl resonance of the 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoyl moiety at $\delta 165.4$ in the HMBC spectrum of 1. Thus, the structure of $\mathbf{1}$ was shown to be $3 \beta-[(\beta-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{glucopy}$ ranosyl )oxy)]-17 $\alpha$-hydroxy-16 $\beta$-[(O-(2-O-3,4,5-trimethoxy-benzoyl- $\beta$-D-xyl opyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow 3$ )-2-O-acetyl- $\alpha$-L-arabinopyranosyl)oxy]chol est-5-en-22-one.

Compound 2 was obtained as an amorphous solid. The positive-ion FABMS (m/z $\left.1085[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data ( 51 carbon signals), and elemental analysis allowed the determination of the molecular formula of 2 as $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{82} \mathrm{O}_{23}$, which was higher by $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ than that of $\mathbf{1 1}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2}$ showed signals for four anomeric protons at $\delta 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5$ Hz ), and $4.66(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, along with signals for five steroid methyl groups and an acetyl group. Acid hydrolysis of 2 with 1 M HCl in dioxane $-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1) liberated Larabinose, D-xylose, and D-glucose as the carbohydrate moieties, and several degradation products from the aglycon, whereas alkaline treatment with $3 \% \mathrm{NaOMe}$ in MeOH yielded a deacetyl derivative ( $\mathbf{2 a}: \mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{80} \mathrm{O}_{22}$ ). The identification of the monosaccharides, including their absolute configurations, was established by direct HPLC analysis of the sugar fraction of the acid hydrolysate using a combination of RI and optical rotary (OR) detectors. Analysis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY spectrum, starting from each anomeric proton, and of the HMQC spectrum of 2 led to the assignment of all the proton and carbon signals due to
the sugar moieties. The assigned ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR shifts were indicative of a terminal $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl unit and a substituted $\beta$-d-glucopyranosyl residue glycosylated at C-6, as well as the O- $\beta$-D-xylopyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 3$ )-2-O-acetyl-$\alpha-\mathrm{L}$-arabinopyranosyl group attached at C-16 of the aglycon as in 11. The anomeric proton signal of the terminal glucosyl residue at $\delta 5.15$ gave an HMBC correlation with the $\delta 70.1$ resonance assignable to C-6 of the inner glucosyl group, whose anomeric proton at $\delta 4.96$, in turn, was correlated with $\mathrm{C}-3$ of the aglycon at $\delta$ 78.4. Thus, the structure of $\mathbf{2}$ was defined as $3 \beta-[(\mathrm{O}-\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl( $1 \rightarrow 6$ )- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl ) oxy)]-17 $\alpha$-hydroxy-16 $\beta$-[(O- $\beta$-D-xylopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow 3$ )-2-O-acetyl- $\alpha-$-L-arabinopyranosyl)oxy]chol est-5-en-22-one.

Compound $\mathbf{3}$ was shown to have the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{90} \mathrm{O}_{26}$ on the basis of the positive-ion FABMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 1249$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data (60 carbon signals), and elemental analysis. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data of 3 were essentially analogous with those of $\mathbf{2}$ and suggestive of a cholestane glycoside of the same type. The existence of a 3,4-dimethoxybenzoyl group in addition to an acetyl group in the molecule was indicated by the IR [1694 cm ${ }^{-1}$ $(C=O), 1600,1515$ and $1456 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (aromatic ring)], UV $\left[\lambda_{\text {max }}\right.$ $292 \mathrm{~nm}(\log \epsilon 3.74)$, $263 \mathrm{~nm}(\log \epsilon 4.02)]$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR [ $\delta 8.06$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.07$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, and $3.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ ], and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR [ $\delta 123.1$ (C), 113.5 (CH), 145.9 (C), 154.0 (C), 111.2 (CH), $124.4(\mathrm{CH}), 165.6(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 55.9$ (OMe), and 55.8 (OMe)] spectra. Alkaline hydrolysis of $\mathbf{3}$ with $0.4 \% \mathrm{KOH}$ in EtOH yielded 2, 2a, and 3,4-dimethoxybenzoic acid. An HMBC correlation from the xylose $\mathrm{H}-2$ resonance at $\delta 5.71$ (dd, J $=9.0,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) to the conjugated carbonyl carbon signal at $\delta 165.6$ gave evidence for the ester linkage position of the 3,4-dimethoxybenzoyl moiety at C-2 of the xylosyl residue. The structure of $\mathbf{3}$ was revealed to be $3 \beta$ -[(O- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow 6$ )- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl )oxy)]-17 $\alpha$ -hydroxy-16 $\beta$-[(O-(2-O-3,4-dimethoxybenzoyl- $\beta$-D-xyl opyra-nosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow 3$ )-2-O-acetyl- $\alpha$-L-arabinopyranosyl )oxy]cholest-5-en-22-one.
The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data of $4\left(\mathrm{C}_{61} \mathrm{H}_{92} \mathrm{O}_{27}\right)$ were superimposable on those of 3, except for the aromatic region signals due to the substituted benzoyl moiety. The aromatic acid linked to C-2 of the xylosyl residue was suggested to be 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoic acid by the UV, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra. Alkaline hydrolysis of 4 with $0.4 \% \mathrm{KOH}$ in EtOH gave 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoic acid, 2, and 2a. Thus, 4 was characterized as $3 \beta$-[(O- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl( $1 \rightarrow 6$ )- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl )oxy)]-17 $\alpha$-hydroxy-16 $\beta$-[(O-(2-O-3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoyl- $\beta$-d-xylopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow 3$ )-2-O-acetyl-$\alpha-L-a r a b i n o p y r a n o s y l) o x y] c h o l e s t-5-e n-22-o n e$.

Compound 5 was deduced as $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{92} \mathrm{O}_{28}$ from the positiveion FABMS (m/z 1247 [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (57 carbon signals), and elemental analysis data. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 5 contained signals for five anomeric protons at $\delta 5.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ 7.7 Hz ), 4.91 (d, J $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), and 4.65 (d, J = 6.5 Hz ), along with signals for five steroid methyl groups and an acetyl group. Acid hydrolysis of 5 with 1 M HCl in dioxane- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1) gave L-arabinose, D-xylose, and D-glucose, whereas alkaline treatment with $3 \% \mathrm{NaOMe}$ in MeOH yielded a deacetyl derivative ( $5 \mathrm{a}: \mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{90} \mathrm{O}_{27}$ ). The above data and inspection of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 5 assumed that 5 structurally corresponded to $\mathbf{2}$ with one more $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl unit present and that the acetyl diglycosyl group linked to $\mathrm{C}-16$ of the aglycon was identical to that of $\mathbf{2}$ and 11. The additional glucosyl unit was supposed to be located at C-4 of the terminal glucosyl part in $\mathbf{2}$ since a glycosy-

Iation shift could be detected around it when the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{5}$ was compared with that of $\mathbf{2}$. This was confirmed by a ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}$ с, H correlation from the anomeric proton signal of the terminal glucosyl residue at $\delta 5.17$ to the $\delta$ 81.0 resonance assignable to C-4 of the inner glucosyl group, whose anomeric proton at $\delta 5.08$ had an HMBC correlation with the $\delta 70.1$ signal due to $\mathrm{C}-6$ of the glucosyl unit attached at C-3 of the aglycon. Accordingly, the structure of 5 was elucidated as $3 \beta$-[(O- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl(1 $\rightarrow 4$ )-O- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow 6$ )- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl) $)$ oxy)]$17 \alpha$-hydroxy-16 $\beta$-[(O- $\beta$-d-xyl opyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow 3$ )-2-O-acetyl-$\alpha$-L-arabinopyranosyl )oxy]chol est-5-en-22-one.

Compound 6 was analyzed for $\mathrm{C}_{66} \mathrm{H}_{100} \mathrm{O}_{31}$ by combined negative-ion FABMS (m/z 1387 [ $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (66 carbon signals), and elemental analysis. Comparison of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of 6 with those of 5 showed their considerable structural similarity and confirmed that 6 differed from 5 in the presence of a 3,4dimethoxybenzoyl group. Alkaline hydrolysis of $\mathbf{6}$ with $0.4 \%$ KOH in EtOH gave 3,4-dimethoxybenzoic acid, 5, and $\mathbf{5 a}$. The ester linkage at the xylose C-2 position in 6 was formed from 3,4-dimethoxybenzoic acid, as was evident from an HMBC correlation between the signals of the xylose H-2 proton at $\delta 5.71$ (dd, J $=8.4,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and the carbonyl carbon at $\delta$ 165.6. The structure of $\mathbf{6}$ was elucidated as $3 \beta$ -[(O- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 4$ )-O- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl-( $1 \rightarrow 6$ )-$\beta$-d-glucopyranosyl )oxy)]-17 $\alpha$-hydroxy-16 $\beta$-[(O-(2-O-3,4-dimethoxybenzoyl- $\beta$-D-xylopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow 3$ )-2-O-acetyl- $\alpha-$ L-arabinopyranosyl)oxy]cholest-5-en-22-one.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $7\left(\mathrm{C}_{67} \mathrm{H}_{102} \mathrm{O}_{32}\right)$ and 8 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{65} \mathrm{H}_{98} \mathrm{O}_{31}\right)$ were identical to those of 6 , except for the aromatic region signals due to the substituted benzoyl moiety. The aromatic acid linked to C-2 of xylosyl residue was suggested to be 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoic acid in 7 and 4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzoic acid in 8 by the UV, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra. On alkaline hydrolysis of 7 and 8 with $0.4 \% \mathrm{KOH}, 3,4,5$-trimethoxybenzoic acid, 5 , and 5 a were obtained from 7, and 4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzoic acid, 5, and 5a from 8. The structures of 7 and 8 were assigned as $3 \beta$-[(O- $\beta$-d-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow 4$ )-O- $\beta$-D-glu-copyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow 6$ )- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl )oxy)]-17 $\alpha$-hydroxy16 $\beta$-[(O-(2-O-3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoyl- $\beta$-D-xyl opyranosyl)( $1 \rightarrow 3$ )-2-O-acetyl- $\alpha$-L-arabinopyranosyl) oxy]chol est-5-en-22one and $3 \beta$-[(O- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow 4$ )-O- $\beta$-D-glucopyran-osyl-(1 $\rightarrow 6$ )- $\beta$-d-glucopyranosyl )oxy) $]-17 \alpha$-hydroxy-16 $\beta-[(0-$ (2-O-4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzoyl- $\beta$-d-xylopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow 3$ )-2-O-acetyl- $\alpha$-L-arabinopyranosyl)oxy]chol est-5-en-22-one, respectively.

Compound $9\left(\mathrm{C}_{66} \mathrm{H}_{100} \mathrm{O}_{31}\right)$ was presumed to be an isomer of 6 with regard to the location of the 3,4-dimethoxybenzoyl group linked to the xylosyl moiety. Alkaline hydrolysis of 9 gave 5, 5a, and 3,4-dimethoxybenzoic acid. In the HMBC spectrum of $\mathbf{9}$, the downfield-shifted proton signal at $\delta 5.95$ (dd, J $=9.0,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) attributable to $\mathrm{H}-3$ of the xylosyl moiety was correlated to the ester carbonyl carbon signal at $\delta$ 166.4. The structure of 9 was characterized as $3 \beta-[(0-$ $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow 4$ )-O- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow 6$ )- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl)oxy)]-17 $\alpha$-hydroxy-16 $\beta$-[(0-(3-0-3,4-dimeth-oxybenzoyl- $\beta$-D-xylopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow 3$ )-2-O-acetyl- $\alpha$-L-ara-binopyranosyl)oxy]cholest-5-en-22-one.

The isolated compounds were evaluated for their cytotoxic activity against HL-60 cells. The cells were continuously treated with each sample for 72 h , and the cell growth was measured by an MTT reduction assay procedure (Table 2). ${ }^{8}$ The 3-O-monoglucosides with an aromatic acyl group at the C-16 diglycoside moiety $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1 2 )}$ were extremely cytotoxic, with respective $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 0.00016 and
$0.00013 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, and the other compounds, except for $\mathbf{2 , 5}$, and 8, al so showed cytotoxic activity as potent as etoposide ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 0.30 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), used as a positive control. These cholestanes were concluded to contribute to the potent cytotoxicity of the crude O . thyrsoides bulb extract. Compound 11 is the corresponding deacyl derivative of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ and was less cytotoxic compared with 1 and 12. Compounds 2 and 5, which are the corresponding deacyl cholestanes of $\mathbf{3}$ and 4, and 6 and 7, respectively, did not show any apparent cytotoxic activity even at the sample concentration of 10 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$. These facts were consistent with the aromatic acid ester group attached at the C-16 glycoside moiety playing an important role for the appearance of the strong cytotoxic activity, as observed in the related cholestanes. ${ }^{1,2,5}$ The cytotoxic activity of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$, having an additional glucosyl unit at C-6 of the terminal glucosyl moiety of $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1}$, respectively, was far less potent than that of $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1}$ by about 3 orders of magnitude. However, further glycosylation of the C-4 hydroxy group of the terminal glucosyl moiety of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ resulted in no discernible effects on the activity.

## Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. Optical rotation was measured by using a J ASCO DIP-360 (Tokyo, J apan) automatic digital polarimeter. IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO FT-IR 620 spectrophotometer. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DPX-400 spectrometer ( 400 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, Karlsruhe, Germany) or on a Bruker DRX-500 spectrometer ( 500 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) using standard Bruker pulse programs. Chemical shifts are given as $\delta$ values with reference to tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard. MS were recorded on a Finnigan MAT TSQ-700 (San J ose, CA) mass spectrometer, using a dithiothreitol and dithioerythritol (3:1) matrix. Elemental analysis was carried out using an Elemental Vario EL (Hanau, Germany) elemental analyzer. Silica gel (F uji-Silysia Chemical, Aichi, J apan), ODS silica gel (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, J apan), and Diaion HP-20 (Mitsubishi-K asei, Tokyo, J apan) were used for col umn chromatography. TLC was carried out on precoated Kieselgel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}(0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ thick, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and RP-18 F 254 S ( 0.25 mm thick, Merck) plates, and spots were visualized by spraying the plates with $10 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ solution, followed by heating. HPLC was performed by using a system comprised of a CCPM pump (Tosoh, Tokyo, J apan), a CCP PX-8010 controller (Tosoh), an RI-8010 detector (Tosoh), a Shodex OR-2 detector (ShowaDenko, Tokyo, J apan), and a Rheodyne injection port with a $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ sample loop. A K aseisorb $\mathrm{NH}_{2}-60-5$ col umn ( 4.6 mm i.d. $\times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Tokyo-K asei, Tokyo, J apan) was employed for HPLC analysis. The following reagents were obtained from the indicated companies: RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco, Gland Island, NY); FBS (Bio-Whittaker, Walkersville, MD); MTT (Sigma, St. Louis, MO); penicillin and streptomycin (MeijiSeika, Tokyo, Japan). All other chemicals used were of biochemical reagent grade.
Plant Material. The bulbs of O . thyrsoides were purchased from a nursery in Heiwaen, Nara, J apan. The bulbs were cultivated, and the flowered plant was identified by one of the authors (Y.S). A voucher specimen has been deposited in our Iaboratory (voucher No. OT-99-004, Laboratory of Medicinal Plant Science).

Extraction and Isolation. The plant material (fresh weight, 15.4 kg ) was extracted with hot MeOH (twice). The MeOH extract was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the viscous concentrate ( 702 g ) was passed through a Diaion HP-20 column, successively eluting with $30 \% \mathrm{MeOH}, 50 \%$ MeOH , and EtOH. The EtOH eluate fraction exhibited potent cytotoxic activity against HL-60 cells ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 0.028 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), while the other fractions did not show apparent cytotoxicity (30\% MeOH and $50 \% \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{IC}_{50}>20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). Column chroma-

Table 1. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectral Data for Compounds 1, 2, 2a, 3-5,5a, and 6-9 in Pyridine-d ${ }_{5}$

| carbon | 1 | 2 | 2a | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5a | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 37.4 | 37.4 | 37.4 | 37.4 | 37.4 | 37.4 | 37.4 | 37.4 | 37.4 | 37.4 | 37.4 |
| 2 | 30.2 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 |
| 3 | 78.1 | 78.4 | 78.4 | 78.4 | 78.4 | 78.5 | 78.4 | 78.4 | 78.4 | 78.4 | 78.4 |
| 4 | 39.3 | 39.5 | 39.4 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 39.4 | 39.4 | 39.4 | 39.4 | 39.4 |
| 5 | 140.8 | 141.0 | 140.9 | 140.9 | 140.9 | 140.9 | 140.8 | 140.9 | 140.9 | 140.9 | 140.9 |
| 6 | 121.8 | 121.7 | 121.8 | 121.7 | 121.6 | 121.8 | 121.9 | 121.8 | 121.8 | 121.8 | 121.8 |
| 7 | 32.2 | 32.2 | 32.2 | 32.2 | 32.1 | 32.1 | 32.2 | 32.1 | 32.1 | 32.1 | 32.1 |
| 8 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 31.9 | 31.9 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 31.9 | 32.0 | 31.9 | 32.0 |
| 9 | 50.0 | 49.9 | 49.9 | 49.9 | 49.9 | 49.9 | 49.9 | 49.9 | 49.9 | 49.9 | 49.9 |
| 10 | 36.9 | 36.9 | 36.8 | 36.9 | 36.9 | 36.9 | 36.9 | 36.9 | 36.9 | 36.9 | 36.9 |
| 11 | 20.9 | 20.8 | 20.9 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 20.9 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 20.8 |
| 12 | 32.7 | 32.7 | 32.5 | 32.7 | 32.7 | 32.7 | 32.5 | 32.7 | 32.7 | 32.7 | 32.7 |
| 13 | 46.5 | 46.5 | 46.4 | 46.5 | 46.5 | 46.5 | 46.4 | 46.5 | 46.5 | 46.5 | 46.5 |
| 14 | 48.5 | 48.4 | 48.5 | 48.4 | 48.4 | 48.4 | 48.5 | 48.4 | 48.4 | 48.4 | 48.4 |
| 15 | 34.6 | 35.0 | 36.1 | 34.6 | 34.5 | 35.0 | 36.1 | 34.6 | 34.5 | 34.7 | 35.0 |
| 16 | 88.4 | 88.3 | 88.9 | 88.3 | 88.4 | 88.3 | 88.9 | 88.3 | 88.4 | 88.3 | 88.2 |
| 17 | 85.7 | 85.7 | 86.2 | 85.7 | 85.7 | 85.8 | 86.2 | 85.7 | 85.7 | 85.8 | 85.7 |
| 18 | 13.6 | 13.5 | 13.6 | 13.6 | 13.6 | 13.5 | 13.6 | 13.6 | 13.6 | 13.6 | 13.5 |
| 19 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 19.5 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 19.4 |
| 20 | 46.3 | 46.4 | 46.1 | 46.3 | 46.3 | 46.4 | 46.1 | 46.3 | 46.3 | 46.3 | 46.4 |
| 21 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 12.1 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 12.1 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.8 |
| 22 | 218.9 | 219.0 | 219.6 | 218.9 | 218.9 | 218.9 | 219.5 | 218.8 | 218.8 | 218.9 | 218.8 |
| 23 | 32.7 | 32.8 | 32.6 | 32.6 | 32.6 | 32.8 | 32.6 | 32.7 | 32.7 | 32.7 | 32.9 |
| 24 | 39.3 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 39.2 | 39.2 | 39.4 | 39.4 | 39.2 | 39.2 | 39.2 | 39.5 |
| 25 | 27.7 | 27.9 | 27.9 | 27.7 | 27.7 | 27.9 | 27.9 | 27.7 | 27.7 | 27.7 | 27.9 |
| 26 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 23.0 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 23.0 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 22.8 |
| 27 | 22.4 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.4 | 22.4 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.4 | 22.4 | 22.4 | 22.5 |
| Glc 1 | 102.5 | 103.0 | 103.0 | 102.9 | 102.9 | 102.8 | 102.8 | 102.7 | 102.7 | 102.7 | 102.8 |
| 2 | 75.6 | 75.1 | 75.1 | 75.1 | 75.1 | 75.1 | 75.1 | 75.1 | 75.2 | 75.2 | 75.2 |
| 3 | 78.6 | 78.5 | 78.4 | 78.5 | 78.5 | 78.4 | 78.4 | 78.4 | 78.5 | 78.5 | 78.4 |
| 4 | 71.7 | 71.7 | 71.7 | 71.7 | 71.7 | 71.5 | 71.5 | 71.5 | 71.6 | 71.6 | 71.5 |
| 5 | 78.5 | 77.2 | 77.2 | 77.2 | 77.2 | 77.1 | 77.1 | 77.1 | 77.1 | 77.1 | 77.1 |
| 6 | 62.9 | 70.1 | 70.1 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 70.1 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 70.1 | 70.1 | 70.1 |
| GIC' 1 |  | 105.4 | 105.5 | 105.4 | 105.4 | 104.9 | 104.9 | 104.9 | 104.9 | 104.9 | 104.9 |
| 2 |  | 75.2 | 75.2 | 75.2 | 75.2 | 74.7 | 74.7 | 74.7 | 74.7 | 74.7 | 74.7 |
| 3 |  | 78.5 | 78.5 | 78.5 | 78.5 | 76.7 | 76.7 | 76.6 | 76.7 | 76.7 | 76.7 |
| 4 |  | 71.6 | 71.6 | 71.6 | 71.6 | 81.0 | 80.9 | 80.9 | 80.9 | 81.0 | 81.0 |
| 5 |  | 78.7 | 78.7 | 78.6 | 78.6 | 76.5 | 76.5 | 76.5 | 76.5 | 76.5 | 76.5 |
| 6 |  | 62.8 | 62.8 | 62.8 | 62.8 | 62.0 | 62.0 | 62.0 | 62.0 | 62.0 | 62.0 |
| GIC ${ }^{\prime \prime} 1$ |  |  |  |  |  | 104.8 | 104.9 | 104.9 | 104.9 | 105.0 | 104.9 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 74.6 | 74.6 | 74.6 | 74.6 | 74.6 | 74.6 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 78.4 | 78.5 | 78.4 | 78.5 | 78.5 | 78.5 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 71.6 | 71.6 | 71.5 | 71.5 | 71.5 | 71.6 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 78.2 | 78.2 | 78.2 | 78.2 | 78.2 | 78.2 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 62.4 | 62.4 | 62.4 | 62.4 | 62.4 | 62.4 |
| Ara 1 | 100.8 | 101.4 | 105.4 | 100.8 | 100.8 | 101.4 | 105.5 | 100.8 | 100.8 | 100.8 | 101.3 |
| 2 | 72.2 | 72.2 | 71.7 | 72.1 | 72.1 | 72.2 | 71.7 | 72.1 | 72.2 | 72.0 | 72.1 |
| 3 | 80.9 | 80.0 | 83.8 | 80.9 | 80.9 | 80.0 | 83.8 | 81.0 | 81.0 | 81.0 | 80.5 |
| 4 | 67.8 | 68.6 | 68.9 | 67.7 | 67.7 | 68.6 | 68.9 | 67.7 | 67.8 | 67.6 | 68.8 |
| 5 | 65.5 | 66.5 | 67.3 | 65.4 | 65.5 | 66.5 | 67.3 | 65.4 | 65.5 | 65.3 | 68.9 |
| Xyl 1 | 103.6 | 106.7 | 106.9 | 103.7 | 103.5 | 106.7 | 106.9 | 103.7 | 103.5 | 103.8 | 106.4 |
| 2 | 75.4 | 74.2 | 75.1 | 75.2 | 75.6 | 74.2 | 75.1 | 75.2 | 75.6 | 75.0 | 71.9 |
| 3 | 76.3 | 78.2 | 78.3 | 76.3 | 76.2 | 78.2 | 78.2 | 76.3 | 76.2 | 76.4 | 79.3 |
| 4 | 70.7 | 70.9 | 71.0 | 70.7 | 70.7 | 70.9 | 71.0 | 70.7 | 70.7 | 70.7 | 66.7 |
| 5 | 67.1 | 67.2 | 67.1 | 67.0 | 67.0 | 67.2 | 67.1 | 67.0 | 67.0 | 67.0 | 66.7 |
| Ar 1 | 126.3 |  |  | 123.1 | 126.3 |  |  | 123.1 | 126.3 | 122.1 | 123.1 |
| 2 | 108.1 |  |  | 113.5 | 108.1 |  |  | 113.5 | 108.1 | 113.9 | 113.2 |
| 3 | 153.7 |  |  | 149.5 | 153.6 |  |  | 149.5 | 153.6 | 148.3 | 149.5 |
| 4 | 143.2 |  |  | 154.0 | 143.2 |  |  | 154.0 | 143.2 | 153.2 | 153.8 |
| 5 | 153.7 |  |  | 111.2 | 153.6 |  |  | 111.2 | 153.6 | 116.1 | 111.2 |
| 6 | 108.1 |  |  | 124.4 | 108.1 |  |  | 124.4 | 108.1 | 125.0 | 124.2 |
| 7 | 165.4 |  |  | 165.6 | 165.4 |  |  | 165.6 | 165.4 | 165.7 | 166.4 |
| OMe | 60.7 |  |  | 55.9 | 60.7 |  |  | 55.9 | 60.7 | 55.8 | 55.8 |
|  | $56.2 \times 2$ |  |  | 55.8 | $56.2 \times 2$ |  |  | 55.8 | $56.2 \times 2$ |  | 55.7 |
| Ac | 169.3 | 170.0 |  | 169.3 | 169.3 | 170.0 |  | 169.3 | 169.3 | 169.3 | 170.0 |
|  | 20.9 | 21.5 |  | 20.9 | 20.9 | 21.5 |  | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 21.5 |

tography of the EtOH eluate portion on silica gel and elution with a stepwise gradient mixture of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (90: 10:0; 40:10:1; 20:10:1), and finally with MeOH al one, gave four fractions (I-IV). Fraction II was subjected to a silica gel column eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(60: 10: 1)$ to collect two additional fractions (IIa, IIb). Fraction IIa was purified by silica gel column chromatography eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-$ $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (70:10:1; 80:10:1) and ODS silica gel column
chromatography with $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2:1) and $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1: 2; 2:3) and by preparative HPLC using $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (4:5) to yield $\mathbf{1}$ ( 35.6 mg ), $\mathbf{1 0}(23.6 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathbf{1 2}(68.1 \mathrm{mg})$. Fraction II b was subjected to an ODS silica gel col umn with $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:2) to give $\mathbf{1 1}$ ( 18.9 mg ). Fraction III was separated by silica gel column chromatography eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (40:10:1) and ODS silica gel column chromatography with $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:2) to give four fractions (IIIa-IIId). Fraction

Table 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectral Data for the Triglucoside Moiety of 5 in Pyridine- $\mathrm{d}_{5}$

| position | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ | J $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| GIc 1 | 4.96 d | 7.7 | 102.8 |
| 2 | 3.99 dd | $8.3,7.7$ | 75.1 |
| 3 | 4.21 dd | $8.9,8.3$ | 78.4 |
| 4 | 4.22 dd | $9.2,8.9$ | 71.5 |
| 5 | 4.10 ddd | $9.2,8.1,2.1$ | 77.1 |
| 6 | 4.80 dd | $11.4,2.1$ | 70.1 |
|  | 4.34 dd | $11.4,8.1$ |  |
| GIC' $^{\prime} 1$ | 5.08 d | 7.8 | 104.9 |
| 2 | 4.05 dd | $8.5,7.8$ | 74.7 |
| 3 | 4.25 dd | $8.6,8.5$ | 76.7 |
| 4 | 4.32 dd | $9.3,8.6$ | 81.0 |
| 5 | 3.86 ddd | $9.3,6.6,2.4$ | 76.5 |
| 6 | 4.54 dd | $11.9,6.6$ | 62.0 |
|  | 4.44 dd | $11.9,2.4$ |  |
| GIC" 1 | 5.17 d | 7.9 | 104.8 |
| 2 | 4.09 dd | $8.8,7.9$ | 74.6 |
| 3 | 4.26 dd | $8.8,8.9$ | 78.4 |
| 4 | 4.22 dd | $10.9,8.9$ | 71.6 |
| 5 | 3.97 ddd | $10.9,5.8,2.7$ | 78.2 |
| 6 | 4.53 dd | $11.5,2.7$ | 62.4 |
|  | 4.29 dd | $11.5,5.8$ |  |

Table 3. Cytotoxic Acitivity of Compounds 1-12 and Etoposide against HL-60 Cells

| compound | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00016 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $>10$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.81 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.70 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $>10$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0.73 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0.77 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 6.6 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 0.46 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 0.12 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 0.013 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 0.00013 |
| etoposide | 0.30 |

IIIb was subjected to a silica gel column eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-$ $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (60:10:1) and preparative HPLC using MeCN$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4: 5)$ to give $3(61.1 \mathrm{mg})$ and $4(84.5 \mathrm{mg})$. Fraction IIIc was chromatographed on silica gel eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-$ $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30: 10: 1)$ and ODS silica gel with $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2: 5) to give $\mathbf{2}(130 \mathrm{mg})$ and a mixture of $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{7}$, which was separated by preparative HPLC using $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2:3) to furnish 6 ( 140 mg ) and 7 ( 128 mg ). Compound 9 ( 22.1 mg ) was isolated from fraction IIId by subjecting it to preparative HPLC using $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2:3). Fraction IV was subjected to a silica gel column eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40: 10$ : 1; 30:10:1) and an ODS silica gel column with $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2:5) and to preparative HPLC using $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2:3) to give $5(894 \mathrm{mg})$ and $8(90.2 \mathrm{mg})$.

Compound 1: amorphous solid; $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{25}-34.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.10, MeOH); IR (film) $v_{\max } 3418$ (OH), 2937 and 2871 (CH), 1732, 1716, and 1696 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 1590, 1504, and 1457 (aromatic ring), 1416, 1371, 1338, 1255, 1228, 1173, 1127, 1070, $1042 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }} 268 \mathrm{~nm}(\log \epsilon 4.07) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (pyridine-d $\left.{ }_{5}\right) \delta$ $7.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-2, \mathrm{H}-6$ of Ar$), 5.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.9,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2$ of Xyl$), 5.56$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2$ of Ara), 5.29 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ d, J $=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6$ ), $5.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Xyl$), 5.05$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of GIc), $4.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Ara), 3.97 (3H, s, OMe), 3.93 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{W}_{1 / 2}=22.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3$ ), $3.81(3 \mathrm{H} \times 2, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OMe} \times 2), 3.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-20), 2.01$ (3H, s, Ac), 1.31 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-21$ ), $1.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-$ 18), 0.98 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-19$ ), 0.86 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-26$ or Me27), 0.88 (3H, d, J $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-26$ or Me 27 ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, seeTable 1; FABMS (positive mode) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 1117$ [M + Na] ${ }^{+}$; anal. C $58.59 \%$; H $7.68 \%$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{82} \mathrm{O}_{22} \cdot 3 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C} 58.86 \%$, H 7.63\%).

Alkaline Hydrolysis of 1. Compound $\mathbf{1}$ ( 10.4 mg ) was treated with $0.4 \% \mathrm{KOH}$ in EtOH ( 9 mL ) at room temperature for 1 h . The reaction mixture was neutralized by passage through an Amberlite IR-120B (Organo, Tokyo, J apan) and chromatographed on silica gel eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}-$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40: 10: 1)$ to give $\mathbf{1 1}(3.6 \mathrm{mg})$ and 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoic acid ( 0.5 mg ).

Compound 2: amorphous solid; [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-64.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.10, MeOH ); IR (film) $v_{\max } 3386$ (OH), 2935 and 2875 (CH), 1737 and 1691 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 1646, 1455, 1407, 1373, 1244, 1166, 1048 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (pyridine-d ${ }_{5}$ ) $\delta 5.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}-2$ of Ara), $5.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6), 5.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of GIc'), 4.96 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Glc), 4.91 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Xyl ), $4.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Ara), 3.94 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, overlapping, $\mathrm{H}-3$ ), $3.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.2$, $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2$ of Xyl ), $3.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-20), 2.35(3 \mathrm{H}$, s, Ac), 1.32 (3H, d, J $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me} 21$ ), 0.96 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-18$ ), 0.95 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-19$ ), 0.95 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-26$ or $\mathrm{Me}-27$ ), 0.92 (3H, d, J $=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me} 26$ or Me-27); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, see Table 1; FABMS (positive-mode) m/z 1085 [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}$; anal. C $55.10 \%$, H $8.13 \%$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{82} \mathrm{O}_{23} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C} 54.82 \%, \mathrm{H}$ 7.94\%).

Acid Hydrolysis of 2. A solution of $2(5.0 \mathrm{mg})$ in 1 M HCl (dioxane $-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1: 1,2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was heated at $92{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h under an Ar atmosphere. After cooling, the reaction mixture was neutralized by passage through an Amberlite IRA-93ZU (Organo, Tokyo, Japan) column and chromatographed on Diaion HP-20 eluting with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{MeOH}(3: 2)$, followed by $\mathrm{Me}_{2}$ -$\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{EtOH}(1: 1)$, to give a sugar fraction ( 2.1 mg ). The sugar fraction was passed through a Sep-Pak $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ cartridge (Waters, Milford, MA) and a Toyopak IC-SP M cartridge (Tosoh), which was then analyzed by HPLC under the following conditions: col umn, Capcell Pak NH2 UG80 ( 4.6 mm i.d. $\times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Shiseido, Tokyo, J apan); solvent, $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (17:3); flow rate, $0.9 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; detection, RI and OR. The identification of L-arabinose, d-xylose, and D-glucose present in the sugar fraction was carried out by comparison of their retention times and polarities with those of authentic samples. $t_{R}(\mathrm{~min})$ : 11.42 (L-arabinose, positive polarity), 11.98 (D-xylose, positive polarity), 19.98 (D-glucose, positive polarity).
Alkaline Hydrolysis of 2. Compound $2(39.0 \mathrm{mg})$ was treated with $3 \% \mathrm{NaOM}$ e in $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature for 2 h . The reaction mixture was neutralized by passage through an Amberlite IR-120B column and then chromatographed eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (30:10:1) to yield 2a ( 20.0 mg ).

Compound 2a: amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-50.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.10, MeOH ); IR (film) $v_{\text {max }} 3376$ (OH), 2934, 2902, and 2871 (CH), 1685 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 1072, $1044 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (pyridine-d ${ }^{2}$ ) $\delta 5.28$ (1H, br s, H-6), 5.19 (1H, d, J $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Xyl$), 5.16$ (1H, $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of GIc'), $4.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Glc), $4.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Ara), $3.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, overlapping, $\mathrm{H}-3)$, $3.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.2,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2$ of Xyl), $3.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-20), 1.32(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}$ 21), 0.94 (3H, s, Me-19), 0.94 (3H, d, J $=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-26$ or Me-27), 0.89 (3H, s, Me-18), 0.87 (3H, d, J $=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-26$ or Me-27); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, see Table 1; FAB-MS (positive-mode) m/z $1043[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$; anal. C 53.14\%, H 7.93\% (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{80} \mathrm{O}_{22}{ }^{\circ}$ $\left.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C} 53.14 \%, \mathrm{H} 8.11 \%\right)$.
Compound 3: amorphous solid; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-72.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.10 , MeOH ); IR (film) $v_{\text {max }} 3365$ (OH), 2932 and 2871 (CH), 1715 and $1694(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1600,1515$, and 1456 (aromatic ring), 1416, 1370, 1270, 1226, 1174, 1131, 1067, $1043 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }} 292 \mathrm{~nm}(\log \epsilon 3.74), 263 \mathrm{~nm}(\log \epsilon 4.02)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (pyridine$\mathrm{d}_{5}$ ) $\delta 8.06$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6$ of Ar ), $7.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ $=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2$ of Ar$), 7.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5$ of Ar$), 5.71$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.0,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2$ of Xyl ), $5.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,6.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2$ of Ara), 5.29 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ d, J $=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6$ ), 5.15 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Glc'), $5.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Xyl), $4.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of GIc), $4.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}-1$ of Ara), $3.94\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{W}_{1 / 2}=20.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3\right), 3.82$ and 3.81 (each $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe} \times 2$ ), $3.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-20), 2.00$ (3H, s, Ac), 1.30 (3H, d, J $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-21$ ), 0.99 (3H, s, Me 18), $0.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-19), 0.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-26$ or Me-27), 0.86 (3H, d, J $=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me} 26$ or $\mathrm{Me}-27$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR,
seeTable 1; FABMS (positive-mode) m/z 1249 [M + Na] ${ }^{+}$; anal C 56.55\%, H 7.80\% (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{90} \mathrm{O}_{26} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C} 56.64 \% ; \mathrm{H}$ 7.53\%).

Compound 4: amorphous solid; $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{25}-58.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.10 MeOH ); IR (film) $v_{\max } 3363(\mathrm{OH}), 2936,2904$, and 2871 (CH), 1731, 1716, and $1694(\mathrm{C}=0$ ), 1589, 1504, and 1457 (aromatic ring), 1416, 1370, 1337, 1228, 1172, 1126, 1067, $1043 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV ( MeOH ) $\lambda_{\max } 266 \mathrm{~nm}(\log \epsilon 4.01) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\right.$ pyridine-d $\left.{ }_{5}\right) \delta$ 7.56 (2H, s, H-2, H-6 of Ar), 5.71 (1H, dd, J $=9.1,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2$ of Xyl), 5.55 (1H, dd, J $=7.5,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2$ of Ara), $5.28(1 \mathrm{H}$, br $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6), 5.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Xyl$), 5.14$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Glc'), $4.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Glc), 4.60 (1H, d, J $=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Ara), $4.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe})$ 3.94 (1H, m, overlapping, H-3), $3.81(3 \mathrm{H} \times 2$, s, OMe), 3.21 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-20), 2.01(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ac}), 1.31(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.4$ Hz, Me-21), 0.98 (3H, s, Me-18), 0.94 (3H, s, Me-19), 0.88 (3H, d, J $=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-26$ or Me-27), $0.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}$ 26 or Me-27); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, see Table 1; FABMS (positive-mode) m/z 1279 [M + Na]+; anal. C 55.84\%, H 7.66\% (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{61} \mathrm{H}_{92} \mathrm{O}_{27} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C} 55.87 \%$, H $7.53 \%$ ).
Alkaline Hydrolysis of $\mathbf{3}$ and 4. Compounds $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ were treated separately (each 20.0 mg ) with $0.4 \% \mathrm{KOH}$ in EtOH (each 12 mL ) at room temperature for 90 min . After neutral ization by passage through an AmberliteIR-120B column, each reaction mixture was chromatographed on silica gel using $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (30:10:1). Compound $\mathbf{3}$ gave $\mathbf{2}(4.5 \mathrm{mg})$, $2 \mathbf{a}(2.5 \mathrm{mg})$, and 3,4-dimethoxybenzoic acid ( 0.8 mg ). Compound $\mathbf{4}$ gave $\mathbf{2}$ ( 3.8 mg ), 2a ( 2.2 mg ), and 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoic acid ( 0.4 mg ).

Compound 5: amorphous solid; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-46.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.10 , MeOH ); IR (film) $v_{\max } 3381(\mathrm{OH}), 2935$ and $2904(\mathrm{CH}), 1737$ and $1690(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, 1372, 1244, 1163, $1048 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (pyridine $-d_{5}$ ) $\delta 5.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2$ of Ara), 5.31 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6$ ), $5.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of GIc'), 5.08 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Glc'), $4.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ 7.7 Hz, H-1 of GIc), 4.91 (1H, d, J $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Xyl), 4.65 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Ara), 3.94 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{W}_{1 / 2}=22.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ $\mathrm{H}-3), 3.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.2,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2$ of Xyl), $3.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-20), 2.34(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ac}), 1.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}$ 21), 0.96 (3H, s, Me-19), 0.95 (3H, d, J $=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-26$ or Me-27), 0.94 (3H, s, Me-18), 0.92 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-26$ or Me27); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, see Table 1; FABMS (positive-mode) m/z 1247 [M + Na] ${ }^{+}$; anal. C 53.90\%, H 8.01\% (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{92} \mathrm{O}_{28}{ }^{\circ}$ 5/2 $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C} 53.51 \%$, H 7.72\%),

Acid Hydrolysis of 5. Compound $\mathbf{5}(8.0 \mathrm{mg})$ was subjected to acid hydrolysis as described for $\mathbf{5}$ to give a sugar fraction $(2.3 \mathrm{mg})$. HPLC analysis of the sugar fraction under the same conditions as in the case of that of $\mathbf{2}$ showed the presence of L-arabinose, D-xylose, and D-glucose.

Alkaline Hydrolysis of 5. Compound 5 ( 50.2 mg ) was treated with $3 \% \mathrm{NaOM}$ e in MeOH at room temperature for 3 h. The reaction mixture was neutralized by passage through an AmberliteIR-120B column and chromatographed on silica gel eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20: 10: 1)$ to yield $5 \mathbf{5 a}$ (39.7 mg ).

Compound 5a: amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-66.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.10, MeOH ); IR (film) $v_{\max } 3376(\mathrm{OH}), 2934,2902$, and $2871(\mathrm{CH})$, 1685 (C=O), 1375, 1162, 1071, $1045 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (pyridine$\left.d_{5}\right) \delta 5.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6), 5.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ $\mathrm{H}-1$ of Xyl ), 5.16 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Glc'), 5.09 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of GIc'), $4.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Glc), $4.52\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1\right.$ of Ara), $3.94\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{W}_{1 / 2}=21.1\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3), 3.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-20), 1.29(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{Me} 21$ ), 0.94 (3H, s, Me-19), 0.92 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-$ 26 or Me-27), 0.90 (3H, s, Me-18), $0.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Me-26 or Me27); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, see Table 1; FABMS (negative mode) m/z 1181 [M - H ]-; anal. C 53.18\%, H 8.11\% (cal cd for $\mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{90} \mathrm{O}_{27} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C} 53.39 \%$, $\mathrm{H} 7.82 \%$ ).

Compound 6: amorphous solid; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-60.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.10 , MeOH); FABMS (negative-mode) m/z 1387 [M - H ]-; IR (film) $v_{\max } 3394(\mathrm{OH}), 2938$ and $2907(\mathrm{CH}), 1736,1714$, and 1697 $(\mathrm{C}=0$ ), 1600, 1515, and 1459 (aromatic ring), 1417, 1371, 1270, 1227, 1173, 1131, 1065, $1044 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }} 293 \mathrm{~nm}$ ( $\log \epsilon 3.81$ ), $262 \mathrm{~nm}(\log \epsilon 4.09)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (pyridine-d $\left.{ }_{5}\right) \delta 8.06$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.5,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6$ of Ar), $7.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$\mathrm{H}-2$ of Ar$), 7.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5$ of Ar$), 5.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, J $=8.4,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2$ of Xyl ), 5.54 ( 1 H , dd, J $=7.2,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2$ of Ara), $5.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-6), 5.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8,0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of GIc'), $5.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Xyl), $5.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Glc '), 4.96 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of GIC ), 4.59 ( 1 H , d, J $=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Ara), 3.94 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, overlapping, $\mathrm{H}-3$ ), 3.82 and 3.80 (each $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), 3.19 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-20$ ), $2.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ac}), 1.29$ (3H, d, J $=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-21$ ), 0.99 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Me-18), $0.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-19), 0.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-26$ or Me-27), 0.85 (3H, d, J $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me} 26$ or $\mathrm{Me}-27$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, see Table 1; anal. C $51.79 \%$, H 7.31\% (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{66} \mathrm{H}_{100} \mathrm{O}_{31}$. $15 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C} 51.99 \%$, H $7.60 \%$ ).

Compound 7: amorphous solid; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-44.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.10 , MeOH ); IR (film) $v_{\max } 3386(\mathrm{OH}), 2938$ and $2905(\mathrm{CH}), 1727$ and $1695(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1590,1502$, and 1460 (aromatic ring), 1416, 1370, 1338, 1229, 1166, 1125, 1066, $1045 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max } 266 \mathrm{~nm}(\log \epsilon 3.98) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (pyridine-d $\left.{ }_{5}\right) \delta 7.58$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{H}-2, \mathrm{H}-6$ of Ar$), 5.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.1,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2$ of Xyl$), 5.56$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2$ of Ara), $5.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} d, \mathrm{~J}=4.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6), 5.17$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Glc' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ), 5.16 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Xyl$), 5.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Glc'), $4.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Glc), $4.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H-1 of Ara), 3.97 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), 3.94 (1H , m, overlapping, H-3), $3.81(3 \mathrm{H} \times 2, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OMe} \times 2), 3.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-20), 1.89$ (3H, s, Ac), 1.30 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-21$ ), $0.99(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ 18), 0.96 (3H, s, Me-19), 0.88 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-26$ or Me-27), 0.86 (3H, d, J $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me} 26$ or $\mathrm{Me}-27$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR seeTable 1; FABMS (positive-mode) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 1441\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right.$; anal C $54.28 \%$, H $7.60 \%$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{67} \mathrm{H}_{102} \mathrm{O}_{32} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C} 54.61 \%, \mathrm{H}$ 7.39\%).

Compound 8: amorphous solid; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-60.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.10, $\mathrm{MeOH})$; IR (film) $v_{\max } 3392(\mathrm{OH}), 2939$ and $2904(\mathrm{CH}), 1738$ and 1695 ( $\mathrm{C}=0$ ), 1606, 1515, and 1458 (aromatic ring), 1428, 1372, 1277, 1230, 1165, 1066, $1047 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max } 292$ $\mathrm{nm}(\log \epsilon 3.77), 263 \mathrm{~nm}(\log \epsilon 4.09){ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (pyridined ${ }_{5}$ ) $\delta$ $8.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6$ of Ar$), 7.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2$ of Ar$), 7.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5$ of Ar$), 5.71(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J = 8.9, 7.6 Hz, H-2 of Xyl), $5.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}-2$ of Ara), $5.30(1 \mathrm{H}$, br d, J $=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6), 5.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of GIc'), $5.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Xyl$), 5.08$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Glc'), $4.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of GIc), $4.59\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1\right.$ of Ara), $3.94\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{W}_{1 / 2}\right.$ $=20.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3), 3.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}-20$ ), 2.00 (3H, s, Ac), 1.29 (3H, d, J $=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-21$ ), 0.99 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-18$ ), 0.97 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-19$ ), 0.90 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Me-26 or Me-27), 0.87 (3H, d, J $=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-26$ or $\mathrm{Me}-27$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, see Table 1; FABMS (negative-mode) m/z 1373 [M -H ]-; anal. C $54.65 \%$, H $7.54 \%$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{65} \mathrm{H}_{98} \mathrm{O}_{31} \cdot 3 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, C 54.96\%, H 7.55\%.

Compound 9: amorphous solid; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-68.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.10, $\mathrm{MeOH})$; IR (film) $v_{\max } 3388(\mathrm{OH}), 2934$ and $2872(\mathrm{CH}), 1716$ and $1694(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1600,1515,1459,1417,1371,1270,1227$, $1040 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max } 292 \mathrm{~nm}(\log \epsilon 3.77), 262 \mathrm{~nm}(\log$ $\epsilon 4.09$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (pyridine-d ${ }_{5}$ ) $\delta 7.94$ (1H, dd, J $=8.5,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H-6 of Ar), 7.78 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2$ of Ar ), $6.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5$ of Ar), 5.95 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.0,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3$ of Xyl), $5.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.4,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2$ of Ara), $5.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6), 5.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of GIc'), 5.14 (1H, $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Xyl$), 5.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of GIc'), 4.96 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Glc), 4.65 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Ara), 3.94 (1H , m, overlapping, $\mathrm{H}-3$ ), 3.75 and 3.68 (each $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), $3.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-20)$, 2.29 (3H, s, Ac), 1.29 (3H, d, J = $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}-21$ ), 0.96 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-19$ ), 0.95 (3H, d, J $=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me} 26$ or Me-27), 0.93 (3H, s, Me-18), 0.92 (3H, d, J $=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me} 26$ or $\mathrm{Me}-27$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, see Table 2; FABMS (positive-mode) m/z 1411 [M + Na]+; anal. C 52.18\%, H $7.56 \%$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{66} \mathrm{H}_{100} \mathrm{O}_{31} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C} 52.30 \%$, $\mathrm{H} 7.58 \%$ ).
Alkaline Hydrolysis of 9-12. Compounds 6 ( 25.0 mg ), 7 ( 5.0 mg ), $8(5.1 \mathrm{mg})$, and $9(5.3 \mathrm{mg})$ were treated with $0.4 \%$ KOH in EtOH. Compound 6 gave 5 ( 5.1 mg ), 5a ( 5.0 mg ), and 3,4-dimethoxybenzoic acid ( 1.8 mg ); 7 gave 5 ( 1.1 mg ), 5a ( 1.4 mg ), and 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoic acid ( 0.2 mg ); 8 gave 5 ( 1.0 mg ), $5 \mathbf{5}(1.1 \mathrm{mg}$ ), and 4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzoic acid ( 0.3 $\mathrm{mg}) ; 9$ gave $5(0.7 \mathrm{mg}), 5 \mathrm{5a}(1.0 \mathrm{mg})$, and 3,4-dimethoxybenzoic acid ( 0.2 mg ).

Cell Culture Assay. HL-60 cells, which were obtained from Human Science Research Resources Bank (J CRB 0085, Osaka, J apan), were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium containing heat-inactivated $10 \%$ FBS supplemented with L-glutamine, 100 units $/ \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin. The leukemia cells were washed and resuspended in the above medium to $4 \times 10^{4}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$, and $196 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of this cell suspension was placed in each well of a 96 -well flat-bottom plate (I waki Glass, Chiba, J apan). The cells were incubated in $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} /$ air for 24 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After incubation, $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1) solution containing the sample was added to give the final concentrations of $0.00001-10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL} ; 4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1: 1) was added into control wells. The cells were further incubated for 72 h in the presence of each agent, and then cell growth was evaluated by an MTT assay procedure. At the end of incubation, $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ MTT in phosphatebuffered saline was added to every well, and the plate was further incubated in $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} /$ air for 4 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The plate was then centrifuged at 1500 g for 5 min to precipitate cells and formazan. An aliquot of $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the supernatant was removed from every well, and $175 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO was added to dissolve the MTT formazan crystals. The plate was mixed on a microshaker for 10 min and then read on a microplate reader (Spectra Classic, Tecan, Salzburg, Austria) at 550 nm . Each assay was done in triplicate, and cytotoxicity was expressed as $I C_{50}$ value, which reduced the viable cell number by $50 \%$.
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